## INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR STUDENT EVALUATION OF COURSE AND INSTRUCTION FOR ALL COURSES

	NA	0	1			3	Very much so
SO	ME OF THE COMME K IN MATHEMATICS	ENTS ARE COURSE.	NOT APPLICATION THE CO	CABLE TO TH ORRESPONDIN	E COUR	SE, i.e. COMP ES SHOULD F	MENTS ON LAB
	lumber of Classes you mi		Nil	0 - 5		11 - 15	> 15
N	lumber of Tutorial you m	issed:	Nil	0 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	> 15
cade	mic Year : 20		Semeste	er : 1 / 2 or Su	mmer		
urse	Title:			Course Number	r		
y su	ggestion regarding cours	e contents	-				1
_	7						
	ABCDEFGHIJKUMNOPORSTUVWXYZ					Code	
	ABCOEFGHUJKLWNOPORSTUVWXYZ						
	A8C0EFGH1JKLWNOP0RSTUVWXY						
	0123456789						
	0123456789					(4)	
	0123456						
	ABCOEFG	)H(I)(J)(K)	(L)(M)(N)(O)(P)(0)	QR(S(T)(U)(V)(V	(X)(Y)(Z)	- 8	
me	of the Instructor :						
	re the strengths and weak	cness of the	Instructor ?				
	are the strongtho and trem	areas or the					
				*			
	Course Nun	her				Tutor	Yak Yast
ama	of the Tutor:					Code	Lab. Inst. Code
	onstructive suggestions for	r improving	the tutorials ?				
ease	do not comment if a cour	se has only	discussion hour.				2
					-	0 0	0 0
						0 0	
						0 0	
ame	of the Laboratory Instr	uctor :				② ② ③ ④ ④	② ③ ③ ④
	of the Laboratory Instru		the tutorials / ex	xperiments ?		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0-23456

## EVALUATION SHEET Don't Use Red / Green Pen **Bubbles** are Not Applicable Not at All < Average = Average > Average Very much so NA PART - I COURSE NA S.No. Detail 1. Amount of material covered in this course was just right 2. Topics were covered in a logical sequence 3. Pace of instruction was even 4. Assignments helped better understand the course material 5. Text / reference material was easily available 6. Exams truly reflected the grasp of course material 7. Lectures were useful 8. Experiments were meaningful 9. Equipments available in the laboratory were functioning PART - II INSTRUCTION Instructor 10. Course objectives were fulfilled 11. Fundamental principles were well emphasized 12. Board work was of good quality 13. The presentation of lecture material was well organized 14. The questions raised in the class were satisfactorily answered 15. The grading was consistent 16. All the allotted lectures were held 17. Visual aids were useful 18. Computer assisted visual aids were useful 19. Overall the instruction was effective 20. The Instructor taught well TUTORIAL (Skip this Section, if the course did not have Tutorials. Discussion hour is not tutorial.) 21. Questions were encouraged 22. Doubts were clarified effectively 23. All allocated tutorials were conducted 24. The tutor was consistent in grading quizzes 25. Over all the tutor was effective LABORATORY INSTRUCTOR (Skip this Section, if the course did not have Laboratories) 26. Laboratory reports were corrected in time

27.

Laboratory instructor ensured the smooth conduct of experiments